



FY 2025 State and Local Cybersecurity Grant Program FAQs



Table of Contents

BACKGROUND.....	5
GENERAL PROGRAM QUESTIONS	5
What is the purpose of the State and Local Cybersecurity Grant Program (SLCGP)?	5
Is the SLCGP related to the Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP)?.....	5
Is the SLCGP related to the Tribal Cybersecurity Grant Program (TCGP)?	5
UPDATED: How much funding is available?.....	5
UPDATED: What are the changes in funding levels between program years?	5
How will funds be allocated?	5
What is allowable for Management and Administration (M&A)?	6
When will states and territories receive funding?	6
Who is eligible to apply?.....	6
UPDATED: What is the year-to-year program difference between FY 2024 and FY 2025?	6
Are entities required to have established a Cybersecurity Planning Committee and Cybersecurity Plan to participate in FY 2025?	6
What is the role of the State Administrative Agency (SAA)?	7
What is the goal of the program and its corresponding objectives?	7
UPDATED: What are the priorities of the program?.....	7
What is the process for addressing Imminent Cybersecurity Threats?	7
Are the grant-funded projects required to be tied to the Threat and Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment (THIRA)/Stakeholder Preparedness Review (SPR) process?	8
UPDATED: Are there services that require recipient participation?	8
NEW: What are the administrative and national policy requirements of the program?	8
UPDATED: How often should the Nationwide Cybersecurity Review (NCSR) be completed?.....	8
UPDATED: What are the recommended services and resources?.....	8
UPDATED: When are the FY 2025 SLCGP key dates?	9
What is the difference between the Federal Financial Report (FFR) and the Performance Progress Report (PPR)?.....	9
UPDATED: How long is the period of performance?	10
How will proposed projects be evaluated?	10
Are there any examples or templates we can use?	10
How are Investment Justification (IJ) and Project Worksheet (PW) forms completed?	10

Can the Investment Justifications (IJ) and Project Worksheets (PW) include “To Be Determined” as placeholder language as necessary and then be revised?	10
LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND RURAL AREAS	11
How do local governments apply?	11
How are local governments defined?	11
How are rural areas defined?	11
Can Tribal Nations qualify as subrecipients?	11
Can educational institutions qualify as subrecipients?	11
NEW: Can non-profits that support local governments qualify as subrecipients?	11
How can states and territories involve local entities?	11
What are local governments required to report? How is it different than the state?	12
How do local governments receive funds?	12
Are states able to impose requirements on subrecipients?	12
NEW: Are subrecipients required to follow the procurement policies of the state or territory providing them with a subaward?	12
What percentage of the funds must be passed through to local entities?	12
What are the requirements for the pass-through grant funds?	12
What percentage of the funds must be passed through to rural entities?	13
When do states need to pass through funds to local entities?	13
How can the 80% pass-through requirement be met if the state or territory wants to allocate the value of the funding in the form of services/solutions procured, managed, and/or deployed by the state or territory?	13
What is the process for selecting which local governments and rural areas will get funds and for which projects?	14
Do states need to receive consent from every locality within their jurisdiction if passing through non-funding assistance?	14
NEW: Do states or territories need to pass through an equivalent amount of cash funding to local government subrecipients that do not opt into shared services by refusing to complete a local consent form?	14
Can the 80% pass-through be in a combination of funding and non-funding assistance?	14
Are there exceptions to the 80% pass-through requirement?	14
Is there a consent letter template?	15
How can states receive local consent within the 45-day pass-through period?	15
Can a local government apply for more than one project?	15
COST SHARE	15
What is a cost share?	15
What is the required cost share for individual projects?	15
What is the cost share for a multi-entity project?	15
UPDATED: How does the cost share work?	16
UPDATED: Is there a cost-share waiver?	16

Can states and the territory of Puerto Rico cover the full cost share for the grant to avoid passing it on to the subrecipient?	16
MULTI-ENTITY PROJECTS	17
What are multi-entity projects and who can apply?	17
Are there additional requirements for multi-entity projects?	17
Do multi-entity projects have to be approved by the Cybersecurity Planning Committee of each eligible entity?	17
Can local entities be included in multi-entity projects?	17
How does the process for multi-entity projects work?	17
What must be submitted for multi-entity projects?	18
NEW: Can a project qualify as a multi-entity project if one or more partnering entities have already completed their implementation?	18
CYBERSECURITY BEST PRACTICES	18
Are there specific best practices that SLT entities will have to adopt?	18
Are there exceptions to the adoption and usage of Cybersecurity Best Practices?	19
Are SLT entities required to transition to the .gov internet domain?	19
CYBERSECURITY Projects	19
Are states and territories required to submit new cybersecurity projects for FY 2025?	19
Does the Cybersecurity Planning Committee need to approve all new projects, or can this be done by other officials such as the CIO?	19
Do all projects need to be listed in the Cybersecurity Plan?	19
ALLOWABLE/ELIGIBLE EXPENSES	20
What can the grant funds be used for?	20
UPDATED: Are there any specific things the funds cannot be used for?	20
Can personnel be hired with grant funds?	21
What equipment or software should be purchased?	21
Is equipment installation considered construction (e.g., installation of fiber optics in a wall or ground)?	21
Can applicants use existing contractors in their projects?	21
CYBERSECURITY PLANNING COMMITTEE	21
What are the membership requirements for the Cybersecurity Planning Committee?	21
Can existing committees be used?	22
What are the responsibilities of the planning committee?	22
What is the Cybersecurity Planning Committee Charter?	22
How should planning committees prioritize individual projects?	23
Can the same planning committee designated for state-level awards be used for multi-entity projects?	23
CYBERSECURITY PLANS	23

Who is required to submit a Cybersecurity Plan?	23
Who must approve the Cybersecurity Plan before it is submitted to DHS?	23
How often am I required to submit a Cybersecurity Plan?	23
Can I make revisions to my Cybersecurity Plan?	24
Are there specific requirements for the Cybersecurity Plan?	24
Are local governments required to produce their own Cybersecurity Plan?	24
Can funds be used to sustain or expand existing efforts?	24
Should states and territories consider sustainment of cybersecurity projects beyond SLCGP funding years?	24
When will I receive funding after my Cybersecurity Plan is approved?	24
Can existing plans be used?	25
Does DHS approve the submitted Cybersecurity Plans?	25
Is there a template or guidance for the Cybersecurity Plan and individual projects?	25
Can I see an example of an approved Cybersecurity Plan?	25
Is there a required format for the Cybersecurity Plan?	25
Is there a timeline that the Cybersecurity Plan must cover?	25
UPDATED: Do states/territories have to resubmit their Cybersecurity Plans for the FY 2025 application?	25
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.....	26
Where can I go for more information?	26
What other resources are available to address programmatic, technical, and financial questions?	26

BACKGROUND

Congress established the State and Local Cybersecurity Grant Program (SLCGP) to “award grants to eligible entities to address cybersecurity risks and cybersecurity threats to information systems owned or operated by, or on behalf of, state, local, or Tribal governments.” Within the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS), the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) are implementing this authority through two grant programs:

1. The SLCGP, which allows state and territory State Administrative Agencies (SAAs) to apply for grant funding. Under SLCGP, states and territories are the only eligible entities. Local governments and tribal Nations are eligible subrecipients under this program.
2. The Tribal Cybersecurity Grant Program (TCGP), which allows Tribal governments to apply for grant funding. Under TCGP, federally recognized Tribal governments are the only eligible entities and do not apply for funding through SAAs.

This Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) document addresses common questions about the SLCGP. Additional information on the TCGP is available [here](#).

GENERAL PROGRAM QUESTIONS

What is the purpose of the State and Local Cybersecurity Grant Program (SLCGP)?

The SLCGP provides funding to state, local, and territorial (SLT) governments to address cybersecurity risks and cybersecurity threats to SLT-owned or operated information systems. All requirements and program guidance are established in the Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO).

Is the SLCGP related to the Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP)?

No. The SLCGP and the HSGP are completely different DHS programs with separate requirements and criteria. The SAAs for SLCGP and HSGP funds may also be different.

Is the SLCGP related to the Tribal Cybersecurity Grant Program (TCGP)?

The SLCGP and TCGP are both funded through the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act but are separate grant programs. Federally recognized Tribal governments can apply directly for TCGP funding. Any Indian tribe or authorized tribal organization, or in Alaska a Native village or Alaska Regional Native Corporation are eligible for SLCGP funding as subrecipients. Local governments, Indian tribes, or authorized tribal organizations applying for funding through SLCGP must contact their state or territory’s SAA. Receiving funds as a subrecipient through the SLCGP does not preclude a federally recognized tribal government from also receiving funding through the FY 2025 TCGP. More information on the TCGP can be found [here](#).

UPDATED: How much funding is available?

For FY 2025, Congress made \$91.75 million available for the SLCGP.

UPDATED: What are the changes in funding levels between program years?

The appropriated funding amount has decreased from \$279.9 million in FY 2024 to \$91.75 million in FY 2025.

How will funds be allocated?

In FY 2025, \$91.75 million is available under the SLCGP. Each state and territory receive a funding allocation as determined by the statutory formula. Allocations for states and territories include a base level as defined for each entity: 1% for each state, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico; and 0.25% for American Samoa, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. Allocations include additional funds

based on a combination of state and rural population totals. While 80% of total state or territory allocations must support local entities, 25% of the total state or territory allocations must support rural entities.

What is allowable for Management and Administration (M&A)?

A maximum of 5% of a state's SLCGP funding may be used solely for M&A purposes. This 5% is within the 20% the state may retain. Subrecipients can also retain 5% of their award for M&A activities. States are not required to use the maximum 5% of funds for M&A purposes.

M&A costs are for activities directly related to the management and administration of the award, which includes financial management, reporting, and program and financial monitoring. Examples are grants management training for M&A staff, equipment, and supplies for M&A staff to administer the grant award, and travel costs for the M&A staff to conduct subrecipient monitoring. Characteristics of M&A expenses are typically direct costs incurred for administering the federal award, identifiable and unique to each federal award, charged based on the activity performed for that particular federal award, and not duplicative of the same costs included in the approved Indirect Cost Rate Agreement, if applicable.

For more information on M&A funding, please refer to Section 3.I of the funding notice.

When will states and territories receive funding?

For FY 2025 applications, states and territories will receive funding when they receive their "Notice of Grant Award" in the FEMA Grant Outcomes (FEMA GO) system.

Who is eligible to apply?

The 56 SAAs for states and territories are the only eligible applicants for SLCGP funding. In addition, two or more eligible entities may jointly apply for assistance as a multi-entity group. Under SLCGP, a multi-entity group is two or more SAAs that apply for joint projects. However, each SAA must submit separate applications.

Local governments can participate in the SLCGP as subrecipients to their state. Local governments interested in participating in the SLCGP should contact their SAAs.

To be eligible for FY 2025 SLCGP funding, each eligible entity is required to fulfill the FY 2022 NOFO requirements. Any state that did not apply in FY 2022 must satisfy the requirements of the FY 2022 NOFO (i.e., CISA-approved Cybersecurity Plan) before funds will be released. Specifically, the submission of a Cybersecurity Plan, Cybersecurity Planning Committee Membership List, and Cybersecurity Planning Committee Charter that aligns with the criteria detailed in the NOFO, unless the applicant already has a CISA-approved Cybersecurity Plan, Committee List, and Charter. All 56 states and territories are eligible to receive funding for FY 2025 SLCGP after fulfilling the FY 2022 requirements.

For more information on FY 2022 requirements that must be met before developing FY 2025 applications, please refer to the program webpage: www.cisa.gov/cybergrants/slcgp:

UPDATED: What is the year-to-year program difference between FY 2024 and FY 2025?

The FY 2025 SLCGP builds on the program's previous years to further support the 2023-2027 DHS Strategic Plan and achieve Goal 3: *Secure Cyberspace and Critical Infrastructure*. Similar to FY 2024, all four program objectives are eligible for projects without requiring a single objective. The primary difference between prior program years is the shifting focus on objectives. FY 2023 and FY 2024 focused on Objectives 2-4, while FY 2022 focused on Objective 1.

For more detailed information on changes, please refer to the [FY 2025 SLCGP Key Changes](#) resource.

Are entities required to have established a Cybersecurity Planning Committee and Cybersecurity Plan to participate in FY 2025?

Yes. To be eligible for FY 2025 SLCGP funding, each entity is required to have established a Cybersecurity Planning Committee that is composed of members as detailed in the Cybersecurity Planning Committee section below. These

activities were likely completed in FY 2022. Each eligible entity must also have submitted a Cybersecurity Plan.

There are no new requirements for the Cybersecurity Plans and Cybersecurity Planning Committees in FY 2025. CISA considers Cybersecurity Plans as strategic living documents that states and territories may update and resubmit, if desired. Entities must consult with CISA regional staff for plan resubmissions. Applicants must confirm their existing plan or submit revisions to their Cybersecurity Plan by Jan. 30, 2026.

For more information on FY 2022 requirements that must be met prior to the development of FY 2025 applications, please refer to the program webpage: <http://www.cisa.gov/cybergrants/SLCGP>

What is the role of the State Administrative Agency (SAA)?

The SLCGP SAA is responsible for managing the grant application submission and award administration process. Working with the applicant's Cybersecurity Planning Committee, the SAA must ensure at least 80% of the federal funds awarded under the SLCGP are passed through to local entities, including at least 25% to rural communities. The pass-through requirement must be met within 45 days of the release of funds.

What is the goal of the program and its corresponding objectives?

The overarching goal of the program is to assist SLT governments in managing and reducing systemic cyber risks. To accomplish this, CISA has established four discrete, but interrelated, objectives:

- **Governance and Planning:** Develop and establish appropriate governance structures, as well as plans, to improve capabilities to respond to cybersecurity incidents and ensure continuity of operations.
- **Assessment and Evaluation:** Identify areas for improvement in SLT cybersecurity posture based on continuous testing, evaluation, and structured assessments.
- **Mitigation:** Implement security protections commensurate with risk (outcomes of Objectives 1 and 2), using the best practices as described in element 5 of the required 16 elements of the cybersecurity plans and those further listed in the NOFO.
- **Workforce Development:** Ensure organization personnel are appropriately trained in cybersecurity, commensurate with their responsibilities as suggested in the National Initiative for Cybersecurity Education.

UPDATED: What are the priorities of the program?

In FY 2024, the focus was to build on progress made in FY 2022 and FY 2023. At this stage, states and territories should have established appropriate cybersecurity governing structures and understand their cybersecurity posture. States and territories continued to invest in projects aligned with their Cybersecurity Plan and priorities from previous years, including the following priorities:

- Implement security protections commensurate with risk.
- Support organizational personnel commensurate with responsibility in the organization.

FY 2025 should be viewed as a continuation of the priorities of FY 2024. States and territories are encouraged to focus on building on the progress made in previous grant years to address the program's objectives.

What is the process for addressing Imminent Cybersecurity Threats?

Through CISA, only DHS has the authority to confirm imminent cybersecurity threats. Additionally, SLT entities do not have the authority to request the declaration of an imminent cybersecurity threat.

DHS notifies SLT entities of imminent cybersecurity threats as appropriate while FEMA issues an Information Bulletin for reprogramming SLCGP funds in support of the specific imminent cybersecurity threat. Afterward, SLCGP SAAs must notify

the approved Cybersecurity Planning Committee and Chief Information Officer (CIO), Chief Information Security Officer (CISO), or equivalent official (e.g., Chief Cyber Officer, Governor's cabinet official overseeing cybersecurity), which are responsible for reviewing and prioritizing projects under SLCGP. Impacted SLT entities should be notified consistent with established governance structures and notification processes within the eligible entity.

To use SLCGP grant funding to address imminent cybersecurity threats, the state or territory must have an approved Cybersecurity Plan. If the SLCGP SAA wants to use funds to address imminent cybersecurity threats, requests must be addressed in the Investment Justification (IJ) for Objective 3. There is no minimum amount that the eligible entity must request or reserve through this IJ and if the eligible entity needs to reallocate funding across its approved IJ to address imminent cybersecurity threats, the eligible entity should collaborate with any subrecipient potentially impacted by the reallocation of funds.

Are the grant-funded projects required to be tied to the Threat and Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment (THIRA)/Stakeholder Preparedness Review (SPR) process?

No. Grant-funded projects are required to be tied to the Cybersecurity Plan only. Applicants are encouraged to leverage the THIRA/SPR process, but it is not a requirement.

For more information on the THIRA/SPR process, please refer to the program webpage: www.cisa.gov/cybergrants/slcgp-.

UPDATED: Are there services that require recipient participation?

All SLCGP recipients and subrecipients that receive funding are required to participate in **CISA Cyber Hygiene Service's Vulnerability Scanning** service. Participation is not required for submission and approval of a grant but is a post-award requirement. Subrecipients receiving non-funding assistance are not *required* to participate in the CISA Cyber Hygiene Services, but are encouraged to do so. All SLCGP recipients are strongly encouraged to participate in other services or memberships offered by CISA that are aligned with their cybersecurity goals.

Please note, Web Application Scanning was an additional FY 2022 requirement but was removed from FY 2023. Additionally, recipients and subrecipients receiving funding assistance are no longer required to participate in the **Nationwide Cybersecurity Review (NCSR)**. The NCSR was required in FYs 2022, 2023, and 2024, but is not required for FY 2025.

For more information on required services, please refer to Appendix B: Required, Encouraged, and Optional Services, Memberships, and Resources in the NOFO.

NEW: What are the administrative and national policy requirements of the program?

Presidential Executive Orders: Recipients must comply with the requirements of Presidential Executive Orders related to grants (also known as federal assistance and financial assistance), the full text of which are incorporated into the funding notice by reference.

Subrecipient Monitoring and Management: Pass-through entities must comply with the requirements for subrecipient monitoring and management as set forth in [2 C.F.R. §§ 200.331-200.333](#).

For more information on additional national policy requirements and post-award requirements and administration, please refer to Section 9 in the funding notice.

UPDATED: How often should the Nationwide Cybersecurity Review (NCSR) be completed?

For FY 2025 SLCGP awards, recipients and subrecipients are not required to complete the NCSR.

UPDATED: What are the recommended services and resources?

CISA offers a range of free cyber resources for managing risk and strengthening cybersecurity that can be found on the

[Cyber Resource Hub](#). Some of the most relevant resources for SLCGP entities are:

- [Ransomware Guide \(Sept. 2020\)](#)
- [Cyber Resilience Review](#)
- [External Dependencies Management Assessment](#)
- [EDM Downloadable Resources](#)
- [Cyber Infrastructure Survey](#)
- [Free Public and Private Sector Cybersecurity Tools and Services](#)
- [Information Technology \(IT\) Sector-Specific Goals \(SSGs\) | CISA](#)
- [Cross-Sector Cybersecurity Performance Goals](#)
- [Web Application Scanning](#)
- [Risk and Vulnerability Assessment - Penetration Testing](#)
- [Cyber Resilience Essentials Assessment](#)
- [CISA's Cybersecurity Marketplace](#)
- [Known Exploited Vulnerabilities Catalog](#)

UPDATED: When are the FY 2025 SLCGP key dates?

- August 1, 2025: NOFO released
- August 1, 2025: Application Start Date
- August 15, 2025, 4 p.m. ET: Applications due to the FEMA GO system. **Please note, this is only a 15-day window.**

What is the difference between the Federal Financial Report (FFR) and the Performance Progress Report (PPR)?

The FFR and PPR have different standards and criteria for submission. The PPR is submitted annually due Jan. 30. The FFR is submitted quarterly throughout the period of performance. The following reporting periods and due dates that apply to the FFR:

Reporting Period	Report Due Date (No Later Than)
Oct. 1 – Dec. 31	Jan. 30
Jan. 1 – March 31	April 30
April 1 – June 30	July 30
July 1 – Sept. 30	Oct. 30
Closeout FFR	No Later than 120 days after the end of the period of performance

For more information on the FFR and PPR, please refer to Sections 9.C and 9.D of the NOFO.

For examples of performance metrics and other data necessary to satisfy SLCGP programmatic reporting requirements, please refer to the Sample PPR and Sample Cyber Performance Narrative in Appendix C of the NOFO.

UPDATED: How long is the period of performance?

The FY 2025 POP is specified in the funding notice and remains 48 months. However, unlike FY 2022, 2023, and 2024, DHS will not consider requests for any extensions to the POP for FY 2025 SLCGP.

How will proposed projects be evaluated?

FEMA will evaluate applications for completeness and applicant eligibility. CISA will evaluate applications for adherence to programmatic guidelines and anticipated effectiveness of the proposed investments. The review will include verification of the following elements:

- Understanding of current cybersecurity posture and areas for improvement;
- Implementation of security protections commensurate with risk;
- Training of organization personnel in cybersecurity;
- Reduction of the risks the project was designed to address; and
- Completion of the proposed projects within the four-year period of performance.

Additional details on project evaluation criteria are available in Section 4.D of the NOFO.

Are there any examples or templates we can use?

Grants.gov has templates for:

- The Cybersecurity Plan;
- Investment Justification Planning; and
- Project Worksheet.

These templates can be found on the FY 2025 SLCGP page on [grants.gov](https://www.grants.gov)

How are Investment Justification (IJ) and Project Worksheet (PW) forms completed?

The IJ and PW as well as a User Guide can be found on the [SLCGP Grant Opportunity on grants.gov](https://www.grants.gov). The IJ and PW User Guides are also available on [FEMA's website](https://www.fema.gov).

For more information on the IJs and PWs, please refer to Section 4.D of the NOFO.

Can the Investment Justifications (IJ) and Project Worksheets (PW) include “To Be Determined” as placeholder language as necessary and then be revised?

If a state or territory does not yet have a cybersecurity project identified, applicants can use “To Be Determined” or “TBD” as placeholder language until the state or territory can identify their cybersecurity projects.

After initial submission, IJs and PWs can be revised to replace any placeholder information. This should be done in consultation with FEMA and/or CISA Regional Representatives.

LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND RURAL AREAS

How do local governments apply?

Local governments are eligible subrecipients and must work with their state or territory's SAA for information about applying for SLCGP funds.

How are local governments defined?

“Local government” is defined in [6 U.S.C. § 101\(11\)](#) as

- a. A county, municipality, city, town, township, local public authority, school district, special district, intrastate district, council of governments (regardless of whether the council of governments is incorporated as a nonprofit corporation under state law), regional or interstate government entity, or agency or instrumentality of a local government;
- b. An Indian tribe or authorized tribal organization, or in Alaska a Native village or Alaska Regional Native Corporation; and
- c. A rural community, unincorporated town or village, or other public entity.

How are rural areas defined?

Per 49 U.S.C. 5302 “rural” is any area with a population of less than 50,000 individuals. To meet the 25% rural pass-through requirement, the eligible subrecipient must be a local government entity within a rural area (a jurisdiction with a population of less than 50,000 individuals).

Can Tribal Nations qualify as subrecipients?

Tribal Nations may be eligible as subrecipients and can receive SLCGP funding as a local government. Each SAA may determine whether and how much SLCGP funding to pass through to Tribal Nations. DHS does not have the authority to mandate that a certain percentage of SLCGP funds are directed to Tribal Nations.

Additionally, funding will be directly available to a subset of federally recognized tribes through the FY 2025 TCGP. Eligible tribes were selected based on meritorious FY 2023 applications. More information on the TCGP can be found [here](#).

Can educational institutions qualify as subrecipients?

Public educational institutions, such as universities or K-12 schools, can qualify as subrecipients. A state university, for example, could be considered as an instrumentality of the state and therefore would qualify for assistance from the SAA. A K-12 school, as part of a municipality's public school district, could also qualify if it is an instrumentality of the local government. Those qualifications should be based on existing state and local laws. SAAs are encouraged to contact their FEMA Preparedness Officer and/or their CISA regional representatives with questions related to educational institution eligibility.

NEW: Can non-profits that support local governments qualify as subrecipients?

No. Local governments and state agencies are the only eligible subrecipients and that does not include organizations that are considered non-profits or for profits by state or territory law. Section 2(13) of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (codified as amended at [6 U.S.C. § 101\(11\)](#)) defines a local government.

How can states and territories involve local entities?

States and territories should include local entities in the Cybersecurity Planning Committee. Proper representation from local entities will ensure their cybersecurity considerations are relayed. Local governments should be made aware of opportunities where states competitively select local projects that align with their Cybersecurity Plan.

What are local governments required to report? How is it different than the state?

Local government subrecipients are required to participate in the closeout reporting by submitting their closeout materials to the SLCGP SAAs within 90 calendar days after the conclusion of the period of performance of the subaward (or an earlier date as agreed upon by the State and local government). After submission from subrecipients, SLCGP SAAs should complete all closeout actions for subawards in time for submission to FEMA during the closeout of the prime award.

SLCGP SAAs must submit the Federal Financial Report (FFR) and Performance Progress Report (PPR). Local government subrecipients must provide financial and performance reports required by their SLCGP SAAs.

How do local governments receive funds?

Local governments can receive funds in the form of subawards. Local governments interested in receiving SLCGP funds should work with their state's or territory's SAA.

Local governments interested in providing strategic input to shape projects should contact their state's or territory's Cybersecurity Planning Committee.

Are states able to impose requirements on subrecipients?

A SAA may impose terms and conditions in a subaward to a subrecipient. These terms and conditions cannot be unduly burdensome or unreasonable and must comply with the prime award terms, 2 CFR pt. 200, and Section 2220A of the Homeland Security Act of 2002.

NEW: Are subrecipients required to follow the procurement policies of the state or territory providing them with a subaward?

Yes, state agency subrecipients are required to follow the procurement policies of the State (includes the U.S. Territories) uses for procurements with non-Federal funds. If such policies and procedures do not exist, States must follow the procurement standards in §§ 200.318 through 200.327. Local government subrecipients are required to follow the procurement policies outlined in 2 CFR §§ 200.318-200.327. Subrecipients are encouraged to work with their SAA to determine appropriate procurement requirements.

What percentage of the funds must be passed through to local entities?

The SLCGP SAA recipient must pass through at least 80% of the federal funds provided under the grant to local governments. With the consent of local governments, this pass-through may be in the form of in-kind services, capabilities, or activities, or a combination of funding and other services. Rural areas must receive 25% of the federal funds. This pass-through to rural areas is a part of the overall 80% pass-through.

The local government pass-through requirement, including the rural area pass-through requirement, does not apply to situations, or to entities, as described below:

1. Grant funding awarded solely to support projects integral to the revision of the state or territory Cybersecurity Plan; or
2. The District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, American Samoa, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam, or the United States Virgin Islands.

What are the requirements for the pass-through grant funds?

States must pass through 80% of federal funds to local governments and cannot impose unreasonable or burdensome requirements as a condition for receipt of grant funds to local governments. The following must be met to pass through

grant funds:

- The SLCGP SAA must make a firm written commitment to passing through grant funds or equivalent non-funding assistance to subrecipients.
- The SLCGP SAAs commitment must be unconditional (i.e., no contingencies for the availability of SAA funds).
- There must be documentary evidence (i.e., award document, terms and conditions) of the commitment.
- The award terms must be communicated to the subrecipient.

The signatory authority of the eligible entity must have in writing to FEMA that pass-through requirements were met. A letter of intent or similar will not be sufficient to meet the requirements. After funds are distributed, the SLCGP SAA must self-certify that the pass-through requirements are met.

For more information on the pass-through requirements, please refer to Section 8.B of the NOFO.

What percentage of the funds must be passed through to rural entities?

A minimum of 25% of federal funds must pass through to rural areas. This 25% pass-through to rural entities contributes to the overall 80% pass-through requirement to local governments. The same four criteria and exceptions for pass-through to local governments also apply to the pass-through to rural areas within those local governments.

Because the pass-through to rural entities is part of the overall 80% pass-through requirement to local governments, SLCGP SAAs must obtain the consent of local governments if intending to pass through non-funding assistance to rural areas in lieu of funding.

When do states need to pass through funds to local entities?

After the funds have been released, FY 2025 SLCGP recipients must submit a letter to FEMA signed by the Authorized Official listed in the grant award certifying that they have met the 45-day pass-through requirement and collected any signed local government consents. Local consent documentation must be signed by the Authorized Official for the local government entity receiving the items, services, capabilities, or activities in lieu of funding, and the consent must specify the amount and intended use of the funds. This letter is due no later than 10 calendar days after the 45-day period for issuing pass-through funding has passed. The letter should be emailed to FEMA-SLCGP@fema.dhs.gov. FEMA will send a copy of the letter to CISA.

For more information on the 45-day pass-through period, please see FEMA's Grant Programs Directorate Information Bulletin No. 487 on the [Grant Programs Directorate Information Bulletins webpage](#).

How can the 80% pass-through requirement be met if the state or territory wants to allocate the value of the funding in the form of services/solutions procured, managed, and/or deployed by the state or territory?

The SLCGP SAA must pass through at least 80% of the federal funds provided under the grant. With the consent of the local subrecipients, this pass-through may be in the form of in-kind services, capabilities, or activities, or a combination of funding and other services. Rural areas must receive 25% of the total federal award. This pass-through to rural areas is a part of the overall 80% pass-through. All pass-through entities must meet all program and grant administration requirements.

If a state wishes to pass through only non-funding assistance, it is recommended that they gauge interest in this funding alternative directly from the local governments by consulting with municipal, city, county, rural communities, or other local government councils or associations. States must also include local governments in their Cybersecurity Planning Committees. Non-funding pass-through procedures must be documented in the Cybersecurity Planning Committee's

Charter.

Pass-through must occur within 45 calendar days of release of funds.

For more information on passing through non-funding assistance, please refer to Section 8.B of the NOFO.

What is the process for selecting which local governments and rural areas will get funds and for which projects?

All pass-through entities must meet all program and grant administration requirements. Cybersecurity Planning Committees must work collaboratively across the state to identify and prioritize individual projects that align with the state's Cybersecurity Plan. If passing through items or services in lieu of funding, ultimately, it is up to the state/territory to determine where and how to pass through the items and services, with the consent of applicable local governments.

Do states need to receive consent from every locality within their jurisdiction if passing through non-funding assistance?

No. There may be a large number of potential subrecipients, but SAAs do not need to provide funding to every eligible subrecipient as long as the 80% pass-through (with 25% for rural areas) requirement is met. The 80% pass-through requirement applies to local governments as a whole.

If the state recipient is passing through non-funding assistance in lieu of financial funding, states will need to receive consent from participating localities. The state does not need to request written consent from every single eligible subrecipient to make this decision.

If unit of government does not consent to having the state provide non-financial assistance in place of funding, then the SLCGP SAA must pass through funding to that local government in the form of a subgrant award, provided that entity has an approved project as part of the approved Cybersecurity Plan to use the funds.

Please note territories are not required to participate in the pass-through requirement.

For more information on engaging local governments, please refer to Section 8.B of the NOFO.

NEW: Do states or territories need to pass through an equivalent amount of cash funding to local government subrecipients that do not opt into shared services by refusing to complete a local consent form?

No. Entities do not need to provide equivalent cash funding to subrecipients that refuse to complete the entities' local consent form and opt out of the shared services being offered by the entity. However, CISA encourages entities planning to offer shared services to engage with potential subrecipients prior to funding the services to validate the demand for any they plan to offer.

Can the 80% pass-through be in a combination of funding and non-funding assistance?

Yes. As long as the total is equivalent to 80% of recipient's federal funding amount, entities can pass through a combination of financial funds and non-financial assistance.

Are there exceptions to the 80% pass-through requirement?

The local government and rural area pass-through requirements do not apply to situations, or to entities, as described below:

- Grant funding awarded only to support projects integral to the revision of the Cybersecurity Plan of the state or territory; or

- The District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, American Samoa, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam, or the United States Virgin Islands.

In the first exception, the SLCGP SAA must submit a proposed budget and budget narrative in the Project Worksheet, along with a written justification outlining how the proposed costs will be used to revise the Cybersecurity Plan to FEMA. Once the proposed costs and activities are reviewed by FEMA and CISA, the SLCGP SAA will be notified, and the funding will be released.

Is there a consent letter template?

No. SLCGP SAAs are responsible for creating their own consent documentation. Local consent must be signed by the Authorized Official (or his/her designee) for the local government entity receiving non-funding assistance in lieu of funding, and the consent must specify the amount and intended use of the funds. The SAAs certification letter is due no later than 10 calendar days after the 45-day period for issuing pass-through funding has passed. The letter should be emailed to FEMA-SLCGP@fema.dhs.gov. FEMA will send a copy of the letter to CISA.

For more information on the consent letter, please refer to Section 8.B of the NOFO.

How can states receive local consent within the 45-day pass-through period?

To best navigate the 45-day pass-through requirement, states can conduct surveys to indicate interest from potential local governments. Surveys have allowed successful states to better identify localities to contact for a consent form.

The 45-day pass-through period is a statutory requirement; therefore, CISA and FEMA recommend that states collect the local consent forms before submitting their cybersecurity projects. After project approval and release of funds, the 45-day pass-through period starts.

Can a local government apply for more than one project?

The SAA determines how to provide subawards and evaluate proposed projects from eligible local governments.

COST SHARE

What is a cost share?

A cost share, or cost match, is applicable to eligible entities and multi-entity projects. Eligible applicants will agree to make available non-federal funds to carry out a SLCGP award in an amount that is not less than 40% of activities under the award. The cost share for the multi-entity projects is 30%. To meet requirements, contributions must be certifiable, reasonable, and allocable under the grant program and in compliance with all applicable federal requirements and regulations. Unless otherwise authorized by law, the non-federal cost share requirement cannot be matched with other federal funds.

What is the required cost share for individual projects?

For applications made by an individual eligible entity, the FY 2025 non-federal cost share requirement is 40%. For FY 2025, cost share requirements are waived for the insular areas of the U.S. territories of American Samoa, Guam, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

For more information on Cost Share, please refer to Section 2.G of the NOFO.

What is the cost share for a multi-entity project?

Cost share for multi-entity projects in FY 2025 is 30%.

UPDATED: How does the cost share work?

The recipient contribution can be cash (hard match) or third-party in-kind (soft match). Eligible applicants must agree to make available non-federal funds to carry out an SLCGP award in an amount not less than 40% of the total project costs (federal award amount plus cost share amount). The cost share for multi-entity projects is 30% for FY 2025. The cost share applies to each individual project funded by the grant award rather than the cumulative total. Recipients must ensure that each activity's cost share is met.

DHS interprets "activity" as all projects approved as part of the submitted Project Worksheets. The Project Worksheets must include cost share and M&A funding for each project objective, as well as a description of the source of the cost share/match. For post-award documentation of cost share, if funds or services are provided by a third party in-kind (soft match), a dated letter of commitment is required to document the donation.

To calculate cost share for the application, please see the formula and example below:

Formula: Federal Award Amount / Federal Share Percentage = Total Project Cost

Cost Share Percentage = Cost Share Amount (rounded up to the nearest whole dollar)

Example: If the federal award is \$1,000,000 with a 60% federal share percentage and a 40% cost share percentage, the cost share amount is calculated below:

- \$1,000,000 (Federal Award Amount) / .60 = \$1,666,667 (Total Project Cost)
- \$1,666,667 x .40 = \$666,667 (Cost Share Amount)

To calculate cost share for a project, please see the formula and example below:

Formula: Total Project Cost x Cost Share Percentage of the Project = Cost Share Amount (rounded to the nearest whole dollar); Total Project Cost x Federal Percentage Share of the Project = Federal Amount for the Project

Example: If the total project cost is \$125,000, the cost share percentage of the project is 40% and the federal percentage share of the project is 60%, the cost share amount for the project and federal amount for the project is calculated below:

- \$125,000 x .40 = \$50,000 (Cost Share Amount for Project)
- \$125,000 x .60 = \$75,000 (Federal Share Amount for Project)

For more information on Cost Share, please refer to Section 2.G of the NOFO.

UPDATED: Is there a cost-share waiver?

The FY 2025 NOFO does not allow entities to request cost-share waivers. Recipients must ensure each activity's cost share is met through a hard match (cash) or soft match (in-kind).

For more information on soft match cost share contributions, please refer to Section 2.H of the NOFO.

Can states and the territory of Puerto Rico cover the full cost share for the grant to avoid passing it on to the subrecipient?

Yes, the SAA may decide if it will cover the cost share requirement for local governments. The cost share must be applied on a per-project basis.

MULTI-ENTITY PROJECTS

What are multi-entity projects and who can apply?

Multiple eligible entities (i.e., states or territories) can group together to address cybersecurity risks and threats to information systems within the eligible entities' jurisdictions.

For more information on multi-entity projects, please refer to Section 3.B.c of the NOFO.

Are there additional requirements for multi-entity projects?

Yes. In addition to each eligible entity having their own Cybersecurity Planning Committee and CISA-approved Cybersecurity Plan, multi-entity groups must also have a multi-entity Investment Justification for the proposed project. Each participating state or territory group members' Cybersecurity Plans, the IJs and PWs for the multi-entity project must include:

- A detailed description of the overall project;
- The division of responsibilities among each participating state or territory group member entity;
- The distribution of funding among the participating state or territory group member entities; and
- Overview of how implementation of the multi-entity project will help achieve the goals and objectives in the Cybersecurity Plan of each participating entity.

For more information on multi-entity project requirements, please refer to Section 3.B.c of the NOFO.

Do multi-entity projects have to be approved by the Cybersecurity Planning Committee of each eligible entity?

Yes. The multi-entity project submissions must be approved by each of the participating state or territory's Cybersecurity Planning Committees, and each of the multi-entity project submissions must be aligned with each of the participating state or territory's respective Cybersecurity Plan.

For multi-entity groups, each participating state or territory must have a CISA-approved Cybersecurity Plan. The project must improve or sustain capabilities identified in the respective Cybersecurity Plan for each eligible entity. Please note that participants in a multi-entity group submit their own Investment Justification.

Can local entities be included in multi-entity projects?

Yes, but since local entities are subrecipients, their eligible entity (i.e., the state SAA) must be participating in the multi-entity project in some capacity. Local entities should be considered as group projects within their state or territory allocations.

How does the process for multi-entity projects work?

There is no separate funding for multi-entity projects. Instead, they should be considered as group projects where each eligible entity contributes a portion to the overarching effort. Multi-entity projects only include states or territories where it may be typical for a state or territory to take a lead in a multi-entity project. There is no local signatory to a multi-entity agreement. However, local funds may be used, with the local's consent as described in the NOFO. With this consent, the multi-entity group can pass through non-funding assistance to local governments in lieu of funding. The following provides a general process outline:

- Eligible entities work collaboratively to define the group project and the roles and responsibilities for each eligible entity, including local governments.

- Each eligible entity must have a Cybersecurity Plan that has been approved by CISA.
- The project must improve or sustain capabilities identified in the respective Cybersecurity Plans for each eligible entity.
- The Cybersecurity Planning Committee of each participating eligible entity must approve their portion of the group project.

Each state and territory within a multi-entity group has its own application, including Cybersecurity Plan and Cybersecurity Planning Committee. All members of the multi-entity must have an approved Cybersecurity Plan to receive funding for the multi-entity project.

What must be submitted for multi-entity projects?

Each eligible entity will be required to submit the following as part of the application package:

- A description of the overarching multi-entity project;
- The other participating eligible entities and all participating SLT entities;
- The division of responsibilities amongst the multi-entity group;
- The distribution of funding from the grant among the eligible entities that comprise the multi-entity group, to include any subawards made to local entities; and
- How the eligible entities that comprise the multi-entity group will work together to implement the Cybersecurity Plan of each of those eligible entities.

NEW: Can a project qualify as a multi-entity project if one or more partnering entities have already completed their implementation?

No. Even though applications from each state and/or territory that are part of the multi-entity project may come in at different times, DHS will need to approve the multi-entity projects in each separate application at the same time. This is because, unless both states and/or territories complete their respective responsibilities in the multi-entity project, then the project would not be successful. As a result, DHS will not award one state's/territory's portion of the multi-entity project in isolation without approving the other.

All multi-entity projects must be approved by FEMA and CISA. Any that do not meet the requirements will be rejected.

CYBERSECURITY BEST PRACTICES

Are there specific best practices that SLT entities will have to adopt?

Yes. Cybersecurity Plans must address how the best practices listed below, and the 16 required elements will be implemented across SLT entities. Adoption is not required immediately, nor by all SLT entities. Instead, the Cybersecurity Plan should detail the implementation approach over time and how the following will be consistent with the program goal and objectives. In addition to the 16 required elements, the Cybersecurity Plan must discuss the below eight best practices:

- Multifactor authentication;
- Enhanced logging;
- Data encryption for data at rest and in transit;
- End use of unsupported/end of life software and hardware that are accessible from the Internet;

- Prohibit use of known/fixed/default passwords and credentials;
- The ability to reconstitute systems (backups); and
- Bidirectional sharing between CISA and SLT entities
- Migration to the .gov internet domain.

Are there exceptions to the adoption and usage of Cybersecurity Best Practices?

Immediate adoption of all eight Cybersecurity Best Practices by the SLT is not required, but applicants must address the best practices in their Cybersecurity Plan. SLT entities must eventually adopt and use all eight Cybersecurity Best Practices as listed above within reasonable timeliness as funding permits. SLT entities cannot forgo the adoption and usage of one or more Cybersecurity Best Practices. The adoption of Cybersecurity Best Practices must be implemented within a reasonable timeline as outlined in the Cybersecurity Plan.

Are SLT entities required to transition to the .gov internet domain?

Educational institution subrecipients using .edu are exempted from transiting to the .gov internet domain due to the nature of the .edu internet domain.

All other subrecipients are required to transition to a .gov internet domain. A .gov internet domain not only provides many security benefits but also signals trust and credibility to public users. Cybersecurity Plans should detail the implementation approach of a .gov internet domain over time. Upon completion of the SLCGP, participating entities will only operate under the .gov internet domain and not use .org, .com, or any other domain.

For more information on migrating to the .gov internet domain, please visit the DotGov Program at get.gov.

CYBERSECURITY PROJECTS

Are states and territories required to submit new cybersecurity projects for FY 2025?

No, applicants are not because projects can be completed in phases. For example, a project submitted in FY 2025 can use funding to complete the next phase of a project submitted in FY 2024. In addition, FY 2025 funding can be used to fund a similar FY 2024 SLCGP cybersecurity project for a different subrecipient in FY 2025. States and territories should ensure that the funding for their cybersecurity projects is properly documented within their budgets with distinct funding streams pointing towards the specific fiscal year funding.

If a state or territory would like to request an extension to submitting their cybersecurity project at the time of application, the request must be supported by the Cybersecurity Planning Committee. One IJ and PW must be included with the application with “To be determined” on both respective forms. M&A funding can be requested at the time of the award.

For more information on Cybersecurity Project Submissions, please refer to Section 4.B.d of the NOFO.

Does the Cybersecurity Planning Committee need to approve all new projects, or can this be done by other officials such as the CIO?

The Cybersecurity Planning Committees are responsible for approving updates to the Cybersecurity Plan and its corresponding projects. Committees should approve new projects that are submitted for the grant program.

Do all projects need to be listed in the Cybersecurity Plan?

Yes, project details should be added to the Cybersecurity Plan. If an entity is unsure how much information should be provided about each project in the plan, they are encouraged to contact CISA and FEMA for further guidance.

ALLOWABLE/ELIGIBLE EXPENSES

What can the grant funds be used for?

Eligible entities can use grant funds for:

- Implementing or revising the Cybersecurity Plan;
- Paying expenses directly relating to the administration of the grant, which cannot exceed 5% of the amount of the grant award;
- Assisting with allowed activities that address imminent cybersecurity threats confirmed by DHS; and
- Other appropriate activities as noted in the NOFO.

UPDATED: Are there any specific things the funds cannot be used for?

Funds cannot be used for the following:

- Spyware
- Construction;
- Renovation;
- To pay a ransom;
- For recreational or social purposes;
- To pay for cybersecurity insurance premiums;
- For any purpose that does not address cybersecurity risks or cybersecurity threats on information systems owned or operated by, or on behalf of, the eligible entity that receives the grant or a local government within the jurisdiction of the eligible entity;
- To supplant state or local funds; however, this shall not be construed to prohibit the use of funds from a grant under this NOFO for otherwise permissible uses on the basis that the SLT entity has previously used SLT funds to support the same or similar uses;
- For any recipient or subrecipient cost-sharing contribution; and
- To acquire land or to construct, remodel, or perform alterations of buildings or other physical facilities (This prohibition does not include minor building modifications; see [Minor Modifications Information Bulletin No. 523](#)); Unallowed “alterations” include permanent modifications that substantially affect the building’s structure, layout, or systems, affect critical aspects of a building’s safety (such as structural integrity, fire safety systems), or other modifications that materially increase the value or useful life of the building.
 - Examples of the types of alterations that are unallowable with SLCGP funding, or the non-federal cost share, are listed below:
 - Updating an electrical system to a building which involves work to enhance or modernize the electrical infrastructure, such as replacing electrical panels, upgrading old or unsafe wiring, and replacing circuit breakers. This type of work is likely a modification that substantially affects the building’s systems and thus would comprise an alteration.
 - Installing new walls or reconfiguring existing ones.

- Affixing equipment in such a way that it becomes a permanent part of a building (as this would result in the equipment no longer being personal property).

For more information on program-specific unallowable costs, please refer to Section 3.F of the NOFO.

Can personnel be hired with grant funds?

Yes, if aligned to the Cybersecurity Plan. Applicants must address how these functions will be sustained when the funds are no longer available in their application.

What equipment or software should be purchased?

Applicants should determine what equipment is most appropriate for their needs based on their Cybersecurity Plan to mitigate cybersecurity risks or gaps.

Is equipment installation considered construction (e.g., installation of fiber optics in a wall or ground)?

Certain equipment installations are not considered to be construction projects, but this will depend on the specific details of each project. Recipients should contact their FEMA Preparedness Officer to address project-specific questions regarding equipment installation. Most equipment installations (e.g., generators) will be considered “construction” and therefore will not be permitted.

For more information on equipment installations, please refer to Section 3.G of the NOFO.

Can applicants use existing contractors in their projects?

Applicants can use existing state, territory, and government-wide contracts. If there are questions about project expenses related to third-party vendors and their eligibility, entities should contact their FEMA Preparedness Officer.

CYBERSECURITY PLANNING COMMITTEE

What are the membership requirements for the Cybersecurity Planning Committee?

The Cybersecurity Planning Committee must include representation from each of the following:

- The eligible entity;
- The Chief Information Officer (CIO), the Chief Information Security Officer (CISO), or equivalent official of the eligible entity;
- If the eligible entity is a state, then representatives from counties, cities and towns within the jurisdiction of the eligible entity;
- Public education institutions within the jurisdiction of the eligible entity;
- Public health institutions within the jurisdiction of the eligible entity; and
- As appropriate, representatives of rural, suburban, and high population jurisdictions.

At least half of the representatives of the Cybersecurity Planning Committee must have professional experience relating to cybersecurity or information technology.

Consideration should be given to include other members, including but not limited to representatives from:

- State and county judicial entities;

- State legislature;
- Election infrastructure officials, including secretaries of state and election directors;
- Representatives from state, territorial, and local public safety, homeland security, emergency management, and law enforcement agencies;
- Emergency communications officials;
- City and county CIOs and CISOs;
- Publicly owned or operated critical infrastructure;
- State National Guard if such entities have a cybersecurity mission;
- Municipal, city, county, rural area, or other local government councils or associations; and
- Other entities with expertise and skillsets that best represent the cybersecurity interests across the eligible entity.

In addition, the eligible entity must consult its CIO, CISO or equivalent official in allocating funds under an SLCGP grant.

Can existing committees be used?

Yes, existing committees can be used but must follow this guidance:

- An existing multi-jurisdictional planning committee must meet the membership requirements or be capable of being expanded to meet the requirements of the Cybersecurity Planning Committee.
- Membership should reflect an eligible entity's unique cybersecurity risk profile.
- Eligible entities should consider using Senior Advisory Committees or create a subcommittee, modified to meet the membership requirements.

What are the responsibilities of the planning committee?

The responsibilities of the Cybersecurity Planning Committee include:

- Assisting with the development, implementation, and revision of the Cybersecurity Plan;
- Approving the Cybersecurity Plan;
- Assisting with the determination of effective funding priorities;
- Liaising with other committees and like entities with the goal of maximizing coordination and reducing duplication of effort;
- Ensuring investments support closing capability gaps or sustaining capabilities; and
- Assisting the state in ensuring local government members, including representatives from counties, cities, and towns within the eligible entity provide written consent on behalf of all local entities across the eligible entity for services, capabilities, or activities provided by the eligible entity through this program.

What is the Cybersecurity Planning Committee Charter?

The Cybersecurity Planning Committee is directed by a charter that governs the committee. All members of the Cybersecurity Planning Committee must sign and date the charter. The charter must be submitted at the time of application as an attachment in the FEMA GO system. Revisions to the Cybersecurity Planning Committee Charter can be

made but must be sent to the entity's assigned FEMA Preparedness Officer.

The Cybersecurity Planning Committee Charter must have:

- A detailed description of the Cybersecurity Planning Committee's composition and an explanation of key governance processes;
- A description of the frequency at which the Cybersecurity Planning Committee will meet;
- An explanation as to how the committee will leverage existing governance bodies;
- A detailed description of how decisions on programmatic priorities funded by SLCGP will be made and how those decisions will be documented and shared with its members and other stakeholders, as appropriate; and
- A description of defined roles and responsibilities for financial decision making and meeting administrative requirements.

How should planning committees prioritize individual projects?

Individual projects must help achieve the goal and objectives of the entity's Cybersecurity Plan. To prioritize projects, the committee should:

- Coordinate activities across preparedness disciplines and levels of government, including SLT governments;
- Devise a cohesive planning framework;
- Incorporate CISA and FEMA resources as well as those from other federal and SLT entities, and the private sector; and
- Determine how available preparedness funding sources can effectively support a whole community approach to emergency preparedness and management and the enhancement of core capabilities.

Can the same planning committee designated for state-level awards be used for multi-entity projects?

There should not be a separate committee or plan for multi-entity activities. Each member of the multi-entity group must have and use their respective Cybersecurity Planning Committee for multi-entity activities and should not have a separate committee that is used solely for multi-entity activities. All SLCGP projects must be reviewed and approved by each entity's committee. All multi-entity projects must be tied to the respective Cybersecurity Plan for each entity.

CYBERSECURITY PLANS

Who is required to submit a Cybersecurity Plan?

States and territories that did not apply in FY 2022 or did not complete a Cybersecurity Plan must submit Cybersecurity Plans for review and approval as part of their grant applications. Cybersecurity Plans must be submitted to DHS for review and approval to receive FY 2025 funding.

Who must approve the Cybersecurity Plan before it is submitted to DHS?

The Cybersecurity Planning Committee and the CIO, CISO, or equivalent official must approve the Cybersecurity Plan and individual projects before submitting to DHS.

How often am I required to submit a Cybersecurity Plan?

Initial Cybersecurity Plans will be approved for two years. Subsequent Cybersecurity Plans, building on the investments

from the previous year(s), were required to be submitted for approval annually starting in FY 2024. Entities are required to confirm that their existing plan effectively aligns with their cybersecurity activities or submit revisions to their Cybersecurity Plan by Jan. 30, 2026.

Can I make revisions to my Cybersecurity Plan?

Yes. After initial Cybersecurity Plans are submitted, entities can submit amendments and updates to their Cybersecurity Plan as needed. CISA considers the Cybersecurity Plans as living strategic documents that can be updated and resubmitted, if desired. Entities must work with CISA regional staff on Cybersecurity Plan resubmissions.

Cybersecurity Plans must be submitted to FEMA via e-mail at FEMA-slcgp@fema.dhs.gov.

Are there specific requirements for the Cybersecurity Plan?

The Cybersecurity Plan should establish high level goals and finite objectives to reduce specific cybersecurity risks across the eligible entity. The Cybersecurity Plan should also serve as the overarching framework for the achievement of SLCGP goals, with grant-funded projects working to achieve outcomes. Regional approaches, as part of an entity-wide approach, should also be considered.

In developing the Cybersecurity Plan, the Cybersecurity Planning Committee should consider the following:

- Existing governance and planning documents and identification of any planning gaps that should be addressed by the Cybersecurity Plan;
- Existing assessments and evaluations (e.g., reports, after-action reports) conducted by SLT governments within the entity and any planning gaps that require additional assessments and/or evaluations; and
- Identification of potential SLCGP projects to address planning gaps and prioritize mitigation efforts.

For more information on Cybersecurity Plan requirements, please refer to www.cisa.gov/cybergrants/slcgp.

Are local governments required to produce their own Cybersecurity Plan?

No. Local governments will be part of the eligible entity's Cybersecurity Plan. These plans are meant to guide development of cybersecurity capabilities across the state or territory. The plans are not meant to be agency specific.

Can funds be used to sustain or expand existing efforts?

Yes. If existing efforts involve improvements made to cyber systems and meet the required elements, and as long as those funds are not used to supplant state or local funds, then grant funds can be used to continue or expand those existing efforts. The awards must meet the goal of the program, which is to manage and reduce systemic cyber risk to SLT information systems.

Should states and territories consider sustainment of cybersecurity projects beyond SLCGP funding years?

The projects should achieve a sustainable improvement solution that will remain after the expiration of the cybersecurity grant program. The ultimate goal of the program as stated in the legislation is to award grants that address cybersecurity risks and threats to information systems owned or operated by, or on behalf of, SLT governments. Since the SLCGP is authorized for four years (2022–2025) with limited funds, Cybersecurity Plans should be designed to be sustained once the SLCGP ends or funds are no longer available.

When will I receive funding after my Cybersecurity Plan is approved?

Releasing funds is a two-step process due to the different roles that CISA and FEMA have within the SLCGP. CISA approves Cybersecurity Plans, projects and associated funding while FEMA releases funds. After the approval of a

Cybersecurity Plan, projects and associated funding, FEMA will review any project budgets and, if approved, process an internal amendment to rescind any funding holds and release the funding. Therefore, there may be a short delay in receiving funds after plans and projects are approved.

Can existing plans be used?

Eligible entities are encouraged to incorporate, where applicable, any existing plans to protect against cybersecurity risks and threats to information systems owned or operated by, or on behalf of, SLT entities.

Does DHS approve the submitted Cybersecurity Plans?

Yes. Once approved by the Cybersecurity Planning Committee, the CIO, CISO, or equivalent official, CISA and FEMA will review each submitted Cybersecurity Plan. CISA will approve the final Cybersecurity Plans.

Is there a template or guidance for the Cybersecurity Plan and individual projects?

Yes. CISA offers a downloadable Cybersecurity Plan template. This template may be used by states and locals and may be referenced as necessary. The template is located on the [CISA.gov website](https://www.cisa.gov).

Can I see an example of an approved Cybersecurity Plan?

CISA offers a downloadable Cybersecurity Plan template which can be viewed on the [CISA.gov website](https://www.cisa.gov). In addition, SLCGP SAAs can consult with their CISA Regional Staff for more guidance on Cybersecurity Plans.

Is there a required format for the Cybersecurity Plan?

No. There is no required format for the Cybersecurity Plan but the required elements must be identifiable for review purposes. Eligible entities are, however, encouraged to review and use the provided Cybersecurity Plan Template which includes additional details, samples, and templates.

For more information on the Cybersecurity Plan, please refer to www.cisa.gov/cybergrants/slcgp.

Is there a timeline that the Cybersecurity Plan must cover?

The plan is strategic in nature and is recommended to address a two-to-three-year period.

UPDATED: Do states/territories have to resubmit their Cybersecurity Plans for the FY 2025 application?

No, entities do not have to resubmit their Cybersecurity Plan as an FY 2025 application requirement. However, all SLCGP recipients with a CISA-approved Cybersecurity Plan are required to do one of the following by **Jan. 30, 2026**:

- Email your FEMA Preparedness Officer at FEMA-SLCGP@fema.dhs.gov that your entity will continue to use the CISA-approved Cybersecurity Plan; or
- Email your entity's revised Cybersecurity Plan, including a list of the revisions, to your FEMA Preparedness Officer at FEMA-SLCGP@fema.dhs.gov.
- Once the email or revised Cybersecurity Plan is received, FEMA will share that with CISA for their review and approval. FEMA will maintain records of CISA-approved plans and resubmitted plans for CISA review.

There is no requirement for an entity to revise their CISA-approved Cybersecurity Plan unless CISA notifies them that it does not meet plan requirements.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Where can I go for more information?

For more information, please visit <https://www.cisa.gov/cybergrants/slcgp>.

What other resources are available to address programmatic, technical, and financial questions?

- For additional support and guidance, SLTs should reach out to their CISA Regional Staff. For regional contact information, please visit [cisa.gov/about/regions](https://www.cisa.gov/about/regions).
- For additional program-specific information regarding programmatic elements, applicants may contact CISA via e-mail at SLCGPinfo@mail.cisa.dhs.gov.
- For additional program-specific information regarding funding and budgetary technical assistance, applicants may contact FEMA via e-mail at FEMA-SLCGP@fema.dhs.gov.